

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,795	10	0/20/2000	Frederic Triebel	03715.0069	4063
466	7590	08/12/2003			
YOUNG &			EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH ARLINGTO		REET 2ND FLOOI 202	₹	YAEN, CHRIS	STOPHER H
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1642	01
				DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/673,795	TRIEBEL ET AL.	
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher H Yaen	1642	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandonent which are the control of the contro	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr unt of the fee. The appr	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Co. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	ce later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	ing date of the final reje	
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-11,13-15,19-21,30,31,34,35,64 a</u>	n <b>d</b> 65.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<del></del>	•	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:		<del></del>	
<del></del>			•
		Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1642	



Centinuation of 2. NOTE: The scope of the claims have been changed, wherein said change encompasses a different type of T-cell response and a different form of administration..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendments to the claims would require a new search of which has not be performed and further considerations. Applicant has changed the scope of the claims to include a peptide that is able to elicit an anti-tumor T-cell response and a peptide that is capable of being adminisitered systemically.

Af CUPERVISO.

ANTHONY C. CAPUTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

"INER

U. 'INE

"HNOLOGY CENTER 1002

2